(1) (2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 326 /2006. SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER: Rohit Kumar Verma, S/o Dayalu Ram Verma, aged about 42 years, residence of village Lodhikapri, Post Parasbode, Tahsil Saja, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 3317/06
Presented by Shri O.P. Sahu
dated 31/7/06

VERSUS

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary School Education Department Ministry D.K.S.Bhawan Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director of School Education Officer, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The District Education Officer, Bemetara, Durg, Chhattisgarh.

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK HIGH COURT BILASPUR
3/11/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION AND FOR THE OTHER SIMILAR WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

(24)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ·WPRP...32.68/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5.7.2006 Shri O.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate, for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this petition, the petitioner has prayed that respondents be directed to grant two additional increments to the petitioner as has been granted to similarly situated persons and 18% interest be also paid. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner made an application on 15-6-2006 stating that the benefit of two additional increments which is being given to others may be given to the petitioner. Copy of the application is Annexure-P/4. He further submitted that this Court has passed an order in a similar case i.e. W.P. No.2755/2006 (Smt. Deepti Mishra V. State of Chhattisgarh and others) directing respondent No.3 therein that if the petitioner has obtained degree of B. Ed. on his own cost, then respondent No.3 therein to pass the order for grant of two advance increments. Copy of the order is Annexure-P/6. He further submitted that in the circumstances, respondent No.3 i.e. the District Education Officer, Bemetara be directed to decide the case of the petitioner to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to examine the case of the petitioner, and if he is satisfied that the petitioner is entitled as per the Government Policy for two advance increments, then he should pass appropriate order, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		